Reduction of lumbar scoliosis by use of a heel lift to level the sacral base
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The relationship between unleveledness of the sacral base and scoliosis is unclear. A method for reducing mild lateral bend of the lumbar spine by use of a heel lift to level the sacral base was tested in adults. Special methods were used to demonstrate the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base and the angle of lateral bend radiographically. The procedure significantly decreased the unleveledness of the sacral base and significantly reduced the angle of lateral bend. The results suggest that an unleveled sacral base contributes to lumbar scoliosis and that use of a heel lift to level the sacral base in mild cases of lumbar scoliosis can be beneficial.
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[The sacrum] is the seat of the transverse center of gravity, the keystone of the pelvis, the foundation of the spine. It is closely associated with our greatest abilities and disabilities, with our greatest romances and tragedies, our greatest pleasures and pains.—H. H. Fryette

Scoliosis is not simply a lateral curvature of the spine but rather a three-dimensional deviation of the axial skeleton. Lateral bend of the spine is a particular aspect of scoliosis. This study tested the hypothesis that leveling a mildly unleveled sacral base by the use of a heel lift is followed by a significant decrease in lateral bend of the lumbar spine.

The view that a level pelvis and a vertical lumbar spine constitute optimal posture is attractive. Commonly, however, the pelvis is not quite level and the lumbar spine is not quite vertically aligned (Fig 1).

A heel lift is an orthosis that can be applied to reduce pelvic unleveledness. The Greek root for orthosis is orthos, meaning "standing up straight" and "being correct." Lifts have long been used to raise the lower side of the pelvis as treatment for malady of the back.

Patriquin and Beals observed a lack of objective evidence of the results of lift therapy. Patriquin found it surprising that authors of articles on lift therapy do not offer studies of results. Typically, reports are anecdotal. For example, in 1863 John Hilton noted:

Thus I have seen many patients wearing spinal supports, in order to correct a lateral [spinal] curvature, when the deformity might have, and has been subsequently, corrected by placing within the shoe or boot a piece of cork thick enough to compensate for the shortness of the less well developed limb.

The fact that this is an old question means that there has been sufficient time for studies to have occurred. Lack of report of study is due, in part, to the fact that there are controversial and technical difficulties to lift therapy.

There is controversy as to whether and to what extent unleveledness of the pelvis relates either to lumbar scoliosis or low back pain. Part of this controversy is due to uncertainty about how to measure the pelvic level with greatest validity. References
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Figure 1. Diagrams of lumbosacral configuration before and after leveling of the sacral base. Left: Configuration of the lumbopelvis that commonly occurs. Line A: parallel to sacral base; Line B: line segments extended vertically through the vertex of each femoral head to intersect Line A; Line C: measured difference between Lines B, recorded as millimeters of unlevelness of the sacral base with respect to the femoral heads. Line D: level margin of film. Right: Diagrammatic representation of hypothesis that placement of a heel lift of sufficient thickness can result in a level sacral base and a more vertical lumbar spine.

used for measuring the pelvic level vary, including comparison of leg lengths while supine, heights of the iliac crests while standing, and unlevelness of the sacral base by postural radiography. In view of the lack of studies, one’s choice of references is arbitrary at present.

Cailliet\textsuperscript{10} stated that to determine pelvic level involves evaluating differences in leg lengths. He reasoned that the legs influence the level of the pelvis through their upright support. Schwab\textsuperscript{11} classified etiologic factors for adults with disparity of leg lengths $>0.5$ cm, citing etiologic factors for short leg as unequal development in 53\%, altered growth due to fracture or epiphysitis in 27\%, and congenital deformation of the sacrum or femurs in 5.5\%. Correction of pelvic unlevelness due solely to inequality of leg lengths can be by a heel lift, shortening the long leg, or lengthening of the short leg.\textsuperscript{12}

Grundy and Roberts\textsuperscript{4} tested the hypothesis that unequal leg length is an important etiologic factor in pain of the low back. In this case-control epidemiologic study, patients with history of low back pain were compared with a control group without history of low back pain. Variables used for measuring leg lengths included the anterior superior iliac spines, posterior superior iliac spines, and patellas. Measurement was by the use of a locating jig (Fig 2), adapted from an instrument originally used to measure the length of the lower part of the leg for boots. No significant relation was found between disparity of leg lengths (within the percentage variation of the variables measured) and the history of low back pain. As a result, Grundy and Roberts doubted short leg was associated with chronic back pain. Their study, however, did not rule out the possibility that the pelvic level is best measured by reference(s) other than leg lengths, and that such hypothetical reference(s) relates more directly to lumbopelvic mechanics than does disparity of leg lengths.

The method described by Cailliet\textsuperscript{10} for measurement of pelvic level was physical examination of three references: (1) the height of the iliac crests; (2) “dimples” of the posterior superior iliac spines; and (3) angle of ascent of the lumbar spine from the sacrum. He stated that measurement of the pelvic level is accurate to within $\frac{1}{4}$ inch, and that this accuracy is sufficient. Because the vertebral column rests and is vertically dependent upon a level pelvis (Fig 1), a superincumbent
scoliosis can result if the sacral base is oblique. Making the pelvis more level by the use of a heel lift can reduce this scoliosis. According to Cailliet, however, this relation is limited in that a difference in leg lengths of less than 3/4 inch does not have a deleterious effect on the scoliosis and thus rarely needs correction by lift. This limit is questionable, as one could ask why reduction of pelvic obliquity to 3/4 inch is beneficial, and further reduction is not. Again, it is possible that unlevelness of the pelvis measured by a reference other than those just listed relates more directly to scoliosis.

Kraus recommended that measurement of postural indices be done by radiography because it is more accurate than physical examination. Greenman stated that the pelvic level (measured radiographically) and lumbopelvic mechanics relate more directly to levelness of the weight-bearing surface of the sacral base than they do to disparity of leg lengths. Various anatomic references have been used for radiographic delineation of the sacral base. A reason for this variety is the difficulty in identifying radiographically the anatomic sacral base. Tilley described radiographic delineation of the sacral base, and tested three different landmarks (Fig 3, labels A, B, and C) for agreement, each with the other, with respect to levelness. These landmarks are as follows:

A. most posterior superior margin of the sacral base;
B. lateral junction of the superior articular process with the sacral ala; and
C. the most superior aspect of the sacral alae.

Tilley found little difference \( P < .01 \) in unlevelness among lines constructed from these references and measured at the lateral margins of the sacral alae. Practically, the most posterior and superior margin of the sacral base is the most difficult to delineate on the film. Nonetheless, both Tilley and Greenman preferred this sacral parameter partly because of its proximity to the sacral base.

A difference between Tilley’s study and the current one is that the unlevelness of the line delineated (by any reference) was measured with respect to the lateral position of the margins of the sacral alae by Tilley, rather than at the lateral position of the apex of the femoral heads. For a given degree of tilt, the unlevelness increases the further from the point of pivot one measures. It is possible that insignificant differences in unlevelness measured at the alae are significant where measured relative to the more lateral position of the femoral heads.

Greenman recommended the use of a heel lift to level the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base to normalize the lumbopelvic mechanics. Although he did not use lift therapy to influence lumbar scoliosis, he associated unlevelness of the sacral base \( \geq 4 \) mm with a positive history of low back pain, and reported that reduction of pain reliably followed the use of a heel lift to level the sacral base. This association points to the possibility that scoliosis, as an aspect of impaired lumbopelvic mechanics, also relates more directly to unlevelness of the sacral base than does inequality of leg lengths. Importantly, for a normal lumbopelvic spine, none of the bony configurations listed here bear the primary weight of the vertebral column. A reference for the primary weight-bearing plane of the sacral base is needed if we are to manipulate the pelvic level accurately.
As a refinement toward radiographic delineation of the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base, the reference used for this study (Fig 3, label D, and Fig 4) was the white line of increased bone density (eburnation) that transversely spans the sacral base, seen slightly inferior to the most posterior margin of the sacral promontory. Eburnation, also referred to as bone sclerosis, is an increase in the density of the bone so that its radiographic appearance is whiter than normal, according to Meschan\textsuperscript{24} and verbal communication in 1980 with F. Wilkins, DO. The deposition of bone is proportional to its compressional load,\textsuperscript{25} in this case, of the superincumbent spinal column. From the anteroposterior view, this plane of eburnation, viewed somewhat edge-on, appears as a white line. This physiologic line was chosen over other bony references because it is:

1. an observable line one can delineate radiographically, rather than an imaginary line constructed between two points of reference;
2. more easily delineated than is the less radiopaque posterior superior margin of the sacral base; and
3. considered to reflect more directly the principal plane of weight bearing than do the other references that result less from function

By use of this line, the effect of leveling of the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base on scoliosis of the lumbar spine can be measured.
Preliminary to the current study of subjects with unlevelness <19 mm, a 71-year-old woman was seen with unlevelness of the sacral base of 45 mm with respect to the lateral location of the femoral heads, and 16 degrees of lateral bend in the lumbar spine (Fig 5, left). Forty-four weeks after the initiation of treatment, and 2 weeks after the final increase of thickness of the heel lift, the patient underwent radiography with a 40-mm lift in place (Fig 5, right). The sacral base was within 3 mm of level, and lateral bend in the lumbar spine was absent. This degree of spinal straightening was surprising, given the patient’s age and degree of deformity. Although this result agreed with the assertion that scoliosis and unlevelness of the sacral base are interrelated, the limits of this relationship were not clear. This current study was aimed to test the lower limit of this relationship.

**Method**

Subjects were selected from 51 adults, 27 women and 24 men, who presented themselves voluntarily from September 1981 through December 1985 to a clinic that emphasized treatment of musculoskeletal disorders. Given that idiopathic scoliosis in adults is known either to remain unchanged (static) or increase with passage of time (progressive), study subjects served as their own control. Subjects who evidenced congenital anomalies or degenerative changes in their lumbopelvic radiographs were excluded. This population was further restricted to those who showed both sacral base unlevelness that ranged from 2 to 17 mm, and lateral bend in the lumbar spine that ranged from 2 to 19 degrees (N = 42). This range of unlevelness was chosen as it is less than the amount of inequality of leg lengths stated by Cailliet to be significant in the etiology of scoliosis. In this way, the effect of leveling a mildly unlevel pelvis using the sacral base as reference can be tested. Of the original population, 98% had unlevelness $\geq 2$ mm.
On two occasions and for each subject, an anteroposterior film of the lumbar spine and pelvis was taken with the subject upright and weight-bearing. This radiographic postural study was initially performed with the patient shoeless. The feet were parallel, positioned directly beneath the acetabula, with the buttocks in direct contact with the plane of the cassette. This technique minimized pelvic rotation with respect to the vertical axis. The participant’s arms were folded across the chest so as to remove them from the visualized field.

The radiographic film was Du Pont Cronex 7. This rectangular film was supported on a base horizontality controlled via a bubble level. A Quanta III intensifying screen was used with constant kilovoltage (kVp) technique. The focal-spot-to-film distance was 40 inches, with the ray centered at the level of L-5. For each subject, approximately 0.12 rad was delivered to the midplane at 80 kVp and 40 mA.

By the following method, the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base was delineated and its unlevelness measured relative to the lateral position of the femoral heads. The developed film was supported in a film holder with its lower margin level (Fig 1, left, line D). A line (A) was drawn on the film parallel to the transverse stratum of eburnation (Fig 3, label D, and Fig 4) within the sacral base. This line was extended laterally to intersect the vertical line segments B, drawn from the lower margin of the film and extended vertically through the vertex (or highest point) of each femoral head to intersect line A. The vertical spans from the right and left points of intersection to the lower margins of the film were compared and the difference (line segment C) was recorded as millimeters of unlevelness of the sacral base with respect to the lateral position of the femoral heads.

To measure the angle of lateral bend (φ) of the lumbar spine (Fig 6), a line was drawn through the most superior and inferior aspect of the spinous process and extended so as to connect the greatest number of aligned spinous processes of the lumbar spine. A second line, so drawn, connected the juxtaposed group of aligned spinous processes. This second line was extended to intersect with the first line, and formed the angle of lateral bend. A few subjects had more than one bend along the lumbar spine. When more than one angle was present, the angle with the greatest degree was recorded.

Serially, subjects wore a lift of graduated thickness inside their shoe beneath the calcaneus. The lift was composed of cork not significantly compressible under the stress of normal weight. Every 2 weeks, the heel lift was augmented in thickness by 1.6 mm. Also every 2 weeks, the soft tissues and joints of the subjects were examined for restriction from normal freedom of motion. Where resistance to normal motion was identified, the restricted soft and articular tissues were taken through the physiologic range of motion to reduce resistance to possible change of orientation of the vertebrae. This activity was comfortable for the subjects and was performed for an average of 15 minutes per session. This cycle was repeated until the thickness of the lift was equal to the number of millimeters of sacral unlevelness initially measured.

Two weeks after the final increase in lift thickness, all subjects underwent radiography a second time, with the lift inside shoes routinely worn by the subjects. From this final film, the unlevelness of the sacral base and the lateral bend in the lumbar spine were measured.

In preliminary study of this method, several days after the incorporation of the heel lift, subjects occasionally reported a temporary increase in musculoskeletal discomfort in one or more regions of the musculoskeletal system. These reports might or might not involve the same regions that initially had discomfort. Such discomfort occurred more commonly if the thickness of the initial lift exceeded 3 mm, if frequency of lift augmentation was greater than every 2 weeks, or if the change in thickness of the lift exceeded 1.6 mm.

Routinely, the increase of discomfort lessened...
within a week to 10 days after onset. For this study, the increment of augmentation was restricted to 1.6 mm (1/16 in) every 2 weeks, to minimize discomfort secondary to the incorporation of a lift. If the thickness of the lift exceeded the available space within the shoe, the excess lift was either added to the outer heel (by an orthotist), or the vertical extent of the contralateral heel was reduced. For subjects with an augmentation of the heel > 8 mm, the thickness of the sole also was augmented so that the difference between the thickness of the heel and sole did not exceed 8 mm. This increase in thickness of the sole was intended to minimize the difference in pitch between the right and left shoes and thereby avoid secondary torsion of the pelvis about the vertical axis.

After intervention, the degree of reduction of lateral bend in the lumbar spine was calculated as the difference between the initial and postintervention angles. This method was used over that of Cobb or Ferguson\(^3\) for three reasons:

1. except for nonsymmetry of the spinous process, the line would have the same direction as the cephalad-caudad axis of the vertebra;
2. significant change in the lateral bend so measured has clinical meaning; and
3. two rather than four lines (Cobb) are used for delineation.

Subjects were tested before and after intervention. Data for the effect of a heel lift on unlevelness of the sacral base and on reduction of lateral bend of the lumbar spine were analyzed with paired \(t\)-tests.

**Results**

Initially, unlevelness of the sacral base ranged from 2 to 17 mm, with a mean of 6.7 ± 1.0 mm (Fig 7). By completion of the study this unlevelness was significantly decreased to 2.6 ± 0.4 mm (Fig 7) \(t = 6.6, P < .001\). Undercorrection was far more frequent than overcorrection.

Prior to the incorporation of the heel lift, the angle of lateral bend ranged from 2 to 19 degrees, with an average of 7.5 degrees. After leveling of the sacral base, the angle was significantly reduced to a mean of 5.3 ± 0.8 degrees (Fig 8); \(t = 3.3, P < .01\). For those with residual unlevelness of the sacral base, further leveling yielded further reduction of lateral bend (data not shown).

On a case-by-case basis, agreement between
the attitude of the line of eburnation compared with that of the aforementioned anatomic references varied considerably.

Approximately one third of the patients reported a brief (1 to 7 day) crescendo of discomfort as the final 20% of the unlevelness was corrected. These discomforts were myalgia, occasional slight nausea, and malaise, and occurred more commonly in those subjects with greater initial scoliosis.

Discussion
These findings provide the basis for a number of conclusions and questions.

No previous study has established causality for the majority of scoliosis. Although the present experiment did not induce scoliosis, the observation that lumbar scoliosis < 20 degrees is reduced after leveling the sacral base strongly suggests a structure/function coupling between even mild unlevelness of the sacral base and spinal scoliosis.

These findings agree with the recommendation of Greenman15 that a heel lift be used to level the weight-bearing plane of the sacral base in order to normalize lumbopelvic mechanics. The results also demonstrate a structure/function relationship between unlevelness of the sacral base and the degree of lumbar scoliosis.

A reference is described for radiographic delineation of the primary weight-bearing plane of the sacral base. This reference, a radiopaque line of eburnation, is thought to be formed as a physiologic response to the stress of weight bearing of the superincumbent spine. Unlevelness of the sacral base, so delineated, relates more directly to scoliosis than does pelvic unlevelness measured by physical examination of iliac crests, the posterior superior iliac spine, or angle of ascent of spine from the sacrum. On a case-by-case basis, agreement between the attitude of the line of eburnation, compared with that of anatomic references used by other investigators to delineate the sacral base, varied considerably. It is possible that another reference for the sacral base relates even more directly to the degree of scoliosis.

The margin of error of unlevelness of the sacral base measured radiographically is 1.0 to 1.5 mm. For most subjects, the measurement of the unlevelness of the transverse plane of the sacral base was easily made. In approximately 20% of subjects, clear delineation of this reference plane was somewhat difficult. Nonetheless, in all subjects the lateral tilt of the sacral base was measurable.

Rotation of the vertebral body about the vertical axis can alter the angle of lateral bend as measured in this study. For subjects with less than 20 degrees of lateral bend, such rotation is minimal and is not a significant factor contributing to this angle.

Risks
There is risk of experiencing a brief crescendo of discomfort as the final 20% of unlevelness is corrected. Both central and peripheral discomfort can be a feature of reduction of scoliosis by lift therapy. A possible cause of this discomfort is soft tissue resistance to spinal straightening. The only contraindication identified is pregnancy, because this procedure includes radiography of the lumbopelvic region and thereby incurs a risk for the fetus.

Benefits
By the use of radiography and heel lift to delineate and correct unlevelness of the sacral base, one can reduce mild lumbar scoliosis in adults by approximately one third. This conclusion is contradistinctive to the assertion by Cailliet13 that less than 3/4-inch obliquity of the pelvis, measured by physical examination, is not significant for patients with scoliosis.

On the average, although the lateral bend of the lumbar spine was significantly reduced after leveling, the majority of the bend remained. This fact indicates that one or more factors (cofactors) in addition to the unlevelness of the sacral base have bearing on the reducibility of scoliosis.

Finally, an importance of the current study relative to the present classification of idiopathic scoliosis is that scoliosis reduced after leveling of the sacral base can be classified as postural in origin, rather than idiopathic.

Of interest for future study is the effect of sacral base leveling on such aspects as the at-
titude of the thoracic vertebrae, the sacral base from the lateral view, and the lumbar spine with an angle of lateral bend >20 degrees.

Also of interest is whether other maladies associated with abnormal posture can be reduced or prevented by leveling the sacral base. Examples of maladies modulated by postural stress include costoclavicular compression with secondary cervical nerve entrapment, osteoarthritis, and low back syndrome. This work was supported in part by American Osteopathic Association Research grants No. 85-11-190 and 86-11-190; Texas College of Osteopathic Medicine Organized Research grant 34100; and College of Osteopathic Medicine of Oklahoma State University intramural grant.

The author thanks J. Kemplin, DO, and F.M. Wilkins, DO, for performance of radiographic measurements; J. Gramer, DO, for clinical consultation; and M. Emmett-Oglesby, PhD; J. Ellis, PhD; P. Greenman, DO; S. Irvin, DO; S. Leifheit, DO; and P. Stern, DO, for editorial assistance. The author also acknowledges the technical assistance of D. Anderson, PhD; S. Anderson, DO; E. Barnes; R. Barnes, RT; S. Carpenter, DO; J. Davis, LVN; E. Garrison; A. George; E. Griffin; A. Hade, DO; J. Hearne; A. Hood; D. Manuele, DO; J. Malone, RT; M. Parish, DO; and L. Sharatt, DO.